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Physical Mobility

- Ubiquity of mobile computing devices
  - Laptops, PDAs, cellular phones
- Variable connectivity
  - Bluetooth, 802.11x, GSM/GPRS/CDMA/.../3G, infrared, docking
  - Nomadic, ad-hoc ...
  - Variable in cost and type
- Numbers increasing
  - 2002: 15.5 million PDAs, 2005: 700 million Bluetooth chips (Gartner)
Characteristics

- Limitations (compared to traditional computing)
  - Memory, battery power, CPU power, erratic (expensive) connectivity
  - Improving but lagging behind still

- Different usage paradigms
  - Input/output
  - Speed, ease of use, frequent but brief usage
    - E.g. Check schedule
  - Reports show that users rarely install applications on mobile devices
    - Applications need to cater to users’ needs throughout the device’s lifetime
Characteristics (2)

• Heterogeneity!
  – Device/Hardware (Physical)
  – Software/Middleware (Logical)
  – Network

• Very dynamic environment
Logical Mobility

- Ability to send parts of an application (or migrate/clone a process) to another host
- Popularised by Java
- Classification into paradigms
  - Client/Server (CS)
  - Remote Evaluation (REV)
  - Code on Demand (COD)
  - Mobile Agents (MA)
- Various middleware (mobile & stationary) systems use it
Advantages of Logical Mobility

• Flexibility
  – Dynamic applications
    • For a Dynamic Environment?
    • For a Heterogeneous Environment?

• Automatic software update
  – Maintenance

• New abilities

• Use of remote resources
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Motivation

- Investigate the use of Logical Mobility by mobile applications
  - Middleware
- Prove that logical mobility can bring tangible benefits to mobile application developers and users
  - Benefits include faster operation, less user-interaction, services offered based on context and location, reduced cost, better user experience
Deficiencies of Related Work

- Limited use of LM
  - Usage of LM to provide reconfigurability to middleware
    - ReMMoC (Lancs), UIC (Ubicore.com)
    - Allows interaction with services provided by heterogeneous platforms/middleware systems
  - Usage of particular LM paradigms to provide particular services to applications
    - LIME (Wustl) uses MA, PeerWare (Politecnico di Milano) uses REV, Jini (Sun) uses COD
  - Others are not really geared for mobile networks
    - In Fargo-DA disconnections are announced
Current Mobile Application Engineering (PalmOS)

- Event driven, single threaded applications
- Files (Applications & Data) stored in main memory (usually 8MB).
  - Files stored as databases (collection of records)
- Developers compile application into a single file (Palm Resource, PRC)
- Application data can be stored in multiple Palm database files (PDBs).
Current Mobile Application Engineering (2)

• Very limited use of libraries
• Applications have a unique identifier, Creator ID (4 bytes)
  – Registered on a central database
  – Identifies PRCs & PDBs to the OS
What’s Wrong with this Model?

- Very limited code sharing
  - On the device itself, between different devices
- Monolithic applications
- Difficult to update application
- No versioning scheme for libraries
- No standard way to know which PRCs a device in reach has.
- Difficulty to install applications
  - Statistics suggest that majority of users never install any 3rd party application
Proposed Solution: SATIN

- Component based middleware
- Allows for static & dynamic configuration
- Small footprint
- Encourages decoupling of applications into modules
- Relies on developers following guidelines
Principles: Architecture

- Modular
- Stresses componentisation
  - Including the middleware itself
- Component identification
  - Dependency scheme
  - Versioning scheme
  - Easy to transmit
- Dynamic addition and removal of modules
Capabilities

• A SATIN component is a capability
  • Ranges from applications to libraries
    • SATIN applications are collections of capabilities with an “executable” one.
    • A capability provides some functionality to either the user or other capabilities.
  • Uniquely identified
  • Provide a versioning scheme
    • Revisions of a capability
  • Provide a Dependency Scheme
  • Middleware is a Collection of Capabilities
    • Advertising and Discovery
Logical Mobility in SATIN

- Ability to encapsulate all LM paradigms to a Logical Mobility Unit (LMU)
  - Hosting environment
  - Requesting / sending
  - Deployment
    - Containers, acceptance/rejection
- Language abstractions
  - Objects, Classes, RPCs...
  - Code which does not map directly to the underlying platform is data
- Group various LM entities together
- Signature
- Identification
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Some Numbers

- Prototype
  - J2SE
  - Personal Java & J2ME considered
- 40K dist/satin-20030714.jar
- 24K lib/kxml2.jar
- 40K lib/μcode.jar
Future Work

• Looking for the killer app
  • Self-organisation
    • Adaptable mobile computing is an instance

• Evaluation of approach
  • New applications possible
  • Comparison to applications that don’t use LM
    • Definition of “best”?
  • Scalability
Conclusion

- Physical Mobility
  - Increased popularity
  - Increased abilities

- Logical Mobility
  - Principles
  - Harness potential of mobile devices

- SATIN
  - Superset of previous approaches
  - Flexible use of LM to applications
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